







Supplementary Figure 1. Synthesis of alkyne macrocyclized peptides. The metathesis reaction was optimized using different resins and reaction conditions (Supplementary Table 1). A detailed overview of all synthesized peptides (sequences, analytical data) is shown in Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3. $\mathrm{j}=$ number of C-terminal amino acids (2, 6); $\mathrm{i}=$ number of amino acids between the building blocks (2, 3, 6); $\mathrm{k}=$ number of N -terminal amino acids after the last building block (2, 4); $\mathrm{n}=1,2,4 ; \mathrm{X}=$ Fmoc, FITC


Supplementary Figure 2. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+3$ macrocyclized peptide 7 containing N -terminal Cys and Met residues. (b) HPLC traces of 7 in the open- (top) and closed conformation (bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (bottom) alkyne crosslink. The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 3. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+4$ macrocyclized test peptide 8 containing N terminal Cys and Met residues. (b) HPLC traces of 8 in the open- (top) and closed conformation (bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (bottom) alkyne crosslink. The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.
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Supplementary Figure 4. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+4$ macrocyclized test peptide 30 containing Nterminal Cys and Met residues. The reduced size of the macrocycle (8 carbon atoms) decreases metathesis efficacy. (b) HPLC traces of 30 in the open- (top) and closed conformation (bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (bottom) alkyne crosslink. The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification


Supplementary Figure 5. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+7$ macrocyclized test peptide 9 containing $N$ terminal Cys and Met residues. (b) HPLC traces of 9 in the open- (top) and closed conformation (bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (bottom) alkyne crosslink. The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 6. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+3$ macrocyclized test peptide 31 without the $N$ terminal Cys and Met residues. After RCAM the alkyne macrocycle is dibrominated using $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$. (b) HPLC traces of 31 in the open- (top), closed- (middle) and dibrominated conformation (bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (middle) alkyne crosslink and the bibrominated olefin (bottom). The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 7. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+4$ macrocyclized test peptide 12 without the N terminal Cys and Met residues. After RCAM the alkyne macrocycle is dibrominated using $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$. (b) HPLC traces of 12 in the open- (10, top), closed- (11, middle) and dibrominated conformation (12, bottom). The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (middle) alkyne crosslink and the bibrominated olefin (bottom). The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 8. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+7$ macrocyclized test peptide 32 without the Nterminal Cys and Met residues. After RCAM the alkyne macrocycle is dibrominated using $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$. (b) HPLC traces of 32 in the open- (top), closed- (middle) and dibrominated conformation (bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. (c) Mass spectra of the open- (top) and closed (middle) alkyne crosslink and the dibrominated olefin (bottom). The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 9. (a) Sequence of the $i, i+4 ; i, i+4$ bicyclic peptide 16 without the $N$-terminal Cys and Met residues. The olefin and alkyne macrocycle can be closed without affecting the orthogonal functionalities. (b) HPLC traces of 16 in the open- ( 13, top), alkyne-closed- (14, second), olefin-Closed- (15, third) and fully closed conformation (16, bottom). The product peak is highlighted accordingly. The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 10. Mass spectra of the $i, i+4 ; i, i+4$ bicyclic peptide 16. (a) MS pattern of the fully open peptide precursor 13. (b) HPLC-MS analysis of the alkyne macrocyclized intermediate (14, top). Only the alkyne macrocyclized intermediate and no olefin crosslinked intermediate can be detected. MS pattern of the alkyne macrocyclized intermediate (bottom). (c) HPLC-MS analysis of the olefin macrocyclized intermediate ( $\mathbf{1 5}$, top). Only the olefin macrocyclized intermediate and no alkyne crosslinked intermediate can be detected. MS pattern of the olefin macrocyclized intermediate (bottom). (d) MS pattern of the fully closed bicyclic alkyne/olefin peptide 16. (e) MS pattern of the fully closed bicyclic olefin/alkyne peptide 16. (f) MS pattern of the fully closed bicyclic one pot synthesis alkyne/olefin peptide 16.


Supplementary Figure 11. (a) Sequence of bicyclic peptide $\mathbf{1 7}$ without the N -terminal Cys and Met residues. The olefin and alkyne macrocycle can be closed without affecting the orthogonal functionalities. (b) HPLC traces of 17 in the open- (top), alkyne-closed- (second), olefin-closed(third) ${ }^{[2]}$ and fully closed conformation (17, bottom).The product peak is highlighted accordingly. [a] Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ gen. catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), dry toluene, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$. The HPLC chromatograms are taken from crude reaction mixtures without any further purification.


Supplementary Figure 12. Mass spectra of bicyclic peptide 17. (a) MS pattern of the fully open peptide precursor. (b) HPLC-MS analysis of the alkyne macrocyclized intermediate (top). Only the alkyne macrocyclized intermediate and no olefin crosslinked intermediate can be detected. MS pattern of the alkyne macrocyclized intermediate (bottom). (c) HPLC-MS analysis of the olefin macrocyclized intermediate (top). Only the olefin macrocyclized intermediate and no alkyne crosslinked intermediate can be detected. MS pattern of the olefin macrocyclized intermediate (bottom). (d) MS pattern of the fully closed bicyclic alkyne/olefin peptide 17. (e) MS pattern of the fully closed bicyclic olefin/alkyne peptide 17. (f) MS pattern of the fully closed bicyclic one pot synthesis alkyne/olefin peptide 17.


Supplementary Figure 13. Fitted FP data for all Rab8 binding peptides. $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\max }\left[\right.\right.$ Rab8a $\left._{6-176}(\mathrm{GppNHp})\right]=$ $1265 \mu \mathrm{M})$; $(\mathrm{n}=1)$


Supplementary Figure 14. Fitted FP data for the best Rab8 binding peptides (triplet measurements). $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\max }\left[\mathrm{Rab}_{\mathrm{a}}^{6-176}\right.\right.$ (GppNHp)$\left.]=1310 \mu \mathrm{M}\right) ;(\mathrm{n}=3)$.


Supplementary Figure 15. MST curves for peptide 25. The Results from MST experiments are summarized in Supplementary Table 5.


Supplementary Figure 16. Fitted initial fluorescence data for peptide 25. ( $\mathrm{c}_{\text {max }}$ [Rab8a $\mathrm{R}_{6-176}(\mathrm{GppNHp})$ ] $=605 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Calculated $K_{d}$ values are summarized in Supplementary Table 5.

Supplementary Table 1. Optimization of the metathesis reaction for peptide 8. The resin was dried in toluene over molecular sieve ( $5 \AA$ ) for four days while exchanging the solvent daily. The reactions were performed in 0.15 mL dry toluene and molecular sieve ( $5 \AA$ ) in a baked out Schlenk tube under argon for 3 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Resin | Conversion [\%] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rink Amide Tentagel | Quant. $^{[a]}$ |
| Rink Amide MBHA | $19^{[a]}$ |
| Rink Amide | $36^{[a]}$ |
| ChemMatrix | $12^{[b]}$ |
| Rink Amide Tentagel | n.c. $^{[b]}$ |
| Rink Amide MBHA | n.c. $^{[b]}$ |
| Rink Amide |  |
| ChemMatrix |  |

[a] 1.5 eq. of complex 5 according to resin loading
[b] 1.5 eq. of complex complex 33 according to resin loading
n.c.: no conversion

Supplementary Table 2. Detailed overview of all synthesized alkyne macrocyclic peptides based on the designed test sequence.

| Entry | Peptide | Architecture | Carbon atoms crosslink | $N$-Term mod. | Sequence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HPLC } \\ & \left(t_{R},[\min ]\right)^{[a]} \end{aligned}$ | HRMS Calc. | HRMS (found) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | $i, i+3$ | 8 | Fmoc | CMWHA4DQ1FRSHLK | 15.52 | 1064.50584 | $1064.51051[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 2 | 30 | $i, i+4$ | 8 | Fmoc | CMWH1ADQ1FRSHLK | 14.94 | 1064.50584 | $1064.50663[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 3 | 8 | $i, i+4$ | 9 | Fmoc | CMWH2ADQ1FRSHLK | 15.54 | 1071.51366 | $1071.51565[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 4 | 9 | $i, i+7$ | 11 | Fmoc | CMWH3ADQFRS1HLK | 15.58 | 1085.52931 | $1085.53116[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 5 | $31^{[b]}$ | i,i+3 | 8 | Fmoc | WHA4DQ1FRSHLK | 16.39 | 1026.39934 | $1026.40084[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 6 | $12^{[b]}$ | $i, i+4$ | 9 | Fmoc | WH2ADQ1FRSHLK | 16.61 | 1033.40717 | $1033.40909[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 7 | $32^{[b]}$ | $i, i+7$ | 11 | Fmoc | WH3ADQFRS1HLK | 15.60 | 1047.42282 | $1047.42485[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 8 | 16 | i,i+4; i,i+4 | 8,9 | Fmoc | 6WHA6DQF2RSH1LK | 18.03 | 720.05102 | $720.05153[\mathrm{~m}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$ |
| 9 | 17 | $i, i+4 ; i, i+4$ | 8,9 | Fmoc | WH2A6D1Q6FRSHLK | 14.75 | 720.05102 | $720.05057[\mathrm{~m}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$ |

[a] Retention time of crude peptides by analytical HPLC (10-90\% MeCN (0.1\% TFA), 30 min )
[b] Dibrominated olefin

Supplementary Table 3. Detailed overview of all Rab8a binding peptides.

| Entry | Peptide | N -Term mod. ${ }^{[a]}$ | Sequence | Purity $[\%]^{[b]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HPLC } \\ & \left(t_{R},[\min ]\right)^{[c]} \end{aligned}$ | Yield $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[\%]} \\ {[d]}\end{array}\right.$ | HRMS Calc. | HRMS (found) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | wtR6IP | F | D DEKEQFLYHLLSFNAV | 84 | 16.58 | 43 | 1301.06757 | $1301.06883[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 1b |  | Ac |  | >99 | 13.95 | 70 | 1055.01801 | $1055.01900[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 2a | StRIP3 | F | DDE6EQF6YHLLSFNAV | >99 | 20.61 | 60 | 1305.56213 | $1305.56853[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 2b |  | Ac |  | 98 | 19.64 | 65 | 1059.51256 | $1059.51703[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 3 | 18 | F | DDE2EQF2YHLLSFNAV | 98 | 20.04 | 16 | 1318.56995 | $1318.56862[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 4 | 19 | F | DDE1EQF2YHLLSFNAV | 95 | 19.83 | 12 | 1311.56212 | $1311.56061[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 5 | 20 | F | DDE2EQF1YHLLSFNAV | 97 | 19.34 | 23 | 1311.56212 | $1311.56163[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 6 | $21^{\text {[e] }}$ | F | DDE2EQF2YHLLSFNAV | 96 | 19.04 | 15 | 1397.48829 | $1397.49138[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 7 | $22^{[\text {[ ] }}$ | F | DDE1EQF2YHLLSFNAV | 88 | 18.01 | 13 | 1390.48046 | $1390.48384[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 8 | $23^{[\text {[ ] }}$ | F | DDE2EQF1YHLLSFNAV | 97 | 17.52 | 21 | 1390.48046 | $1390.48449[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 9 | 24 | F | DDE $\underline{6 E Q F 6}$ YHL2SFN2V | 95 | 19.82 | 15 | 901.39804 | $901.39757[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$ |
| 10a | 25 | F | D DE6EQF6YHL1SFN2V | 98 | 20.98 | 13 | 1344.58560 | $1344.58642[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 10b |  | Ac |  | 98 | 16.70 | 20 | 1098.03212 | $1098.02466[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 11 | 26 | F | DDE6EQF6YHL2SFN1V | 98 | 20.21 | 25 | 1344.58560 | $1344.58476[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 12 | 27 | F | DDE2EQF2YHL6 SFN6V | 97 | 19.88 | 30 | 901.39804 | $901.39818[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$ |
| 13 | 28 | F | DDE1EQF2YHL6 SFN6V | 93 | 18.62 | 12 | 1344.58560 | $1344.58396[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |
| 14 | 29 | F | DDE2EQF1YHL6 SFN6V | 98 | 18.96 | 54 | 1344.58560 | $1344.58768[\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ |

[a] F = Fluorescein-O2OC-,Ac = Acetylated
[b] Calculated from UV-absorbance at 210 nm
[c] Retention time of purified peptides by analytical HPLC ( $10-90 \%$ MeCN ( $0.1 \%$ TFA), 30 min )
[d] Yield was determined according to Fmoc quantification after the $1^{\text {st }}$ amino acid (Val) and quantification of the final peptide by UV absorption at 496 nm (fluorescein labeled peptides)
[e] Dibrominated olefin

Supplementary Table 4 Alkyne macrocyclized peptides and their binding affinities towards Rab8a ${ }_{6}$. ${ }_{176}(\mathrm{GppNHp})$. [a] singlet measurements ( $\mathrm{c}_{\max }\left[\mathrm{Rab}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{6-176}(\mathrm{GppNHp})\right]=1265 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) [b] Dibrominated olefin.


Supplementary Table 5 Results from MST experiments (Supplementary Figure 16, 17). Initial fluorescence analysis of peptide 25. Fluorescence data was fitted using the software Monolith Affinity Analysis (NanoTemper Technologies). Two individual runs were performed resulting in a $K_{d}$ of $11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (average of two measurements).

| Peptide 25 | $K_{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| run1 | $9 \pm 8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| run2 | $13 \pm 6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

## Supplementary notes

Supplementary Note 1 List of abbreviations.

| AcOH | Acetic acid |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Acetic anhydride |
| brine | Saturated NaCl (aqueous) |
| COMU | 1-[(1-(Cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidenaminooxy)-dimethylaminomorpholino)]-uronium-hexafluorphosphate |
| DCM | Dichloromethane |
| DIEA | Diisopropylethylamine |
| DMF | N,N-Dimethylformamide |
| EDT | 1,2-Ethanedithiol |
| EDTA | Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid |
| EA | Ethylacatate |
| ESI | Electrospray ionization |
| EtOH | Ethanol |
| FITC | Fluorescein isothiocyanate |
| Fmoc | Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl |
| Fmoc-O2Oc-OH | Fmoc-8-amino-3,6-dioxaoctanoic acid |
| GppNHp | Guanosine-5'-( $\beta, \gamma$-imido)triphosphate |
| HCTU | O-(6-Chlorobenzotriazol-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate |
| HEPES | 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid |
| HPLC | High-performance liquid chromatography |
| HRMS | High-resolution mass spectrometry |
| MeCN | Acetonitrile |
| MST | Microscale thermophoresis |
| n.c. | No conversion |
| NMP | N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| Oxyma | Ethyl (hydroxyimino)cyanoacetate |
| PE | Petrol ether |
| RA | Relative Abundance |
| RCAM | Ring closing alkyne metathesis |
| RCM | Ring closing metathesis |
| SPPS | Solid-phase peptide synthesis |
| TBABr | Tetrabutyl ammonium bromide |
| TCEP | Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine |
| TFA | Trifluroacetic acid |
| TIS | Triisopropylsilane |

## Supplementary Methods

## Chemicals and instrumentation

Unless otherwise noted, chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Merck, Okeanos, Roth or Alfa Aesar and were used without further purification. Protected Fmoc-amino acids and coupling reagents were purchased from Novabiochem and Iris Biotech GmbH . Building block 6 for hydrocarbon peptide stapling was purchased from Okeanos Tech. Co. LTD. All solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Analytical HPLC was performed using an Agilent 1100 Series with either a C18 HPLC column $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Macherey Nagel) or a C18 HPLC column $1.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Macherey Nagel). The system was run at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ over 30 min using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \%$ TFA) and $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA})$ as solvents. Linear gradients were run over varying periods of time. The efficiency of nucleotide exchange was monitored by analytical HPLC using $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{KO}_{4}(\mathrm{pH} 7.0)$ and 10 mM TBABr and MeCN ( $0.1 \%$ TFA) as solvents. HPLC-MS analyses were performed with an Agilent 1100 Series connected to a Thermo LCQ Advantage mass spectrometer using a C18 HPLC column $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Macherey Nagel). The system was run at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ over 15 min using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \%$ formic acid) and MeCN ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid) as eluents. Semi preparative HPLC was carried out on a Agilent 1100 Series using a SP125/10 Nuclear C18 Gravity $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column (Macherey Nagel) at a flow rate of $6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Linear gradients using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \%$ TFA) and $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \%$ TFA) were run over varying periods of time. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on a QLT Orbitrap mass spectrometer coupled to an Acceka HPLC-System (HPLC column: Hypersyl GOLD, $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ particle size $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ionization method: Electrospray lonization). Automated Peptide synthesis was performed using a CEM-Discover microwave and a CEM-Liberty peptide synthesizer. Fluorescence polarization was measured with a Tecan Safire ${ }^{2}$. Absorbance measurements were performed on a Tecan infinite M200 and Thermo scientific Nanodrop 2000c. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury VX 500 or 400 spectrometer at room temperature. NMR spectra were calibrated to the solvent signals $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(7.26$ and 77.16 ) or DMSO ( 2.50 and 39.52 ). MicroScale Thermophoresis (MST) curves were measured on a NanoTemper Technologies Monolith NT.115.

## Peptide synthesis

## General

Peptides were synthesized on solid-phase using the Fmoc-strategy and Rink Amide (MBHA) resin, Rink Amide NovaSyn TGR resin or ChemMatrix Rink Amide resin as solid support. Solvents and soluble reagents were removed by suction. Washings between coupling and deprotection were carried out in DMF and DCM using 1 mL solvent per 100 mg resin. Coupling efficiency was monitored by ESI-MS and/or HPLC analyses.

## Fmoc group deprotection

The resin was swollen in DMF and treated with a solution of piperidine/DMF (20/80, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$. Afterwards the resin was washed with DMF (3x), DCM (3x) and DMF (3x).

## Amino acid coupling

Fmoc-Xaa-OH (4 eq.) was dissolved in freshly prepared solution of HCTU (3.9 eq., 0.5 M ) with DIEA ( 8 eq.). Subsequently, this mixture was added to the resin and shaken for 30 min at room temperature. For coupling of the Alkyne building blocks ( $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$ ), the building block 6 and the subsequent amino acid: Fmoc-Xaa-OH (4 eq.) was dissolved in DMF in the presence of COMU ( 3.9 eq .), Oxyma ( 3.9 eq. ) and DIEA (8 eq.), added to the resin and shaken for 1 h at room temperature. Except coupling of the Alkyne building blocks 1 - 4 and the alkene building block 6, all couplings were performed as double couplings. All equivalents are calculated based on theoretical loading of the resin as provided by the vendor.

## N -Acetylation

For preparation of N -acetylated peptides and whenever a quantitative yield even after recoupling treatments was not achieved, the free $N$-terminal amino group was acetylated using a solution of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ DIEA/DMF $(1 / 1 / 8, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ for $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at room temperature.

## Microwave peptide synthesis

Unmodified peptide sequences were synthesized with a microwave assisted peptide synthesizer. Removal of the Fmoc group was performed in piperidine/DMF (20/80, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~min} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (intensity $=40 \mathrm{~W}$ ) and $5 \mathrm{~min} 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (intensity $=40 \mathrm{~W}$ ). Coupling of amino acids was performed as double couplings in DMF, Fmoc-Xaa-OH (4 eq., 0.2 M), HCTU ( 3.9 eq., 0.5 M ) and DIEA ( 8 eq., 0.2 M in NMP) for 10 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (intensity $=20 \mathrm{~W}$ ). Coupling of His- and Cys residues was performed as double couplings for 15 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (intensity $=20 \mathrm{~W}$ ).

## Fluorescence labelling with FITC

Prior to fluorescence labelling with FITC a PEG-linker (Fmoc-O2Oc-OH) was coupled to the free $N$-terminus. A mixture of Fmoc-O2Oc-OH (5 eq.), COMU (4.9 eq.), Oxyma ( 4.9 eq.) and DIEA ( 10 eq.) in DMF was transferred to the resin and shaken at room temperature for $2 \times 1 \mathrm{~h}$. The resin was drained and washed with DMF (3x). The Fmoc
group was removed as described above and the resin was treated with FITC (5 eq.) and DIEA (10 eq.) for 16 h at room temperature under exclusion of light. Afterwards, the resin was washed with DMF (3x), DCM (3x) and dried to constant weight in vacuo.

## Ring closing alkyne metathesis

The dried resin was transferred under argon into a baked out Schlenk tube and swollen and shrunken alternating in dry diethyl ether and dry toluene (3x each). Afterwards 0.5 mL of a solution of the alkyne metathesis complex $5\left(2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ in dry toluene was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . During the reaction time argon was bubbled through the reaction mixture to evaporate the 2butyne. After addition of 0.5 mL of fresh complex 5 solution the mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The resin was filtered off, washed with toluene (3x), DCM (3x) and dried to constant weight.

## Ring closing olefin metathesis

The dried resin was swollen in DCE for 15 min. A solution of Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) in DCE was added to the resin and reacted for 2 h at room temperature. During the reaction time argon was bubbled through the reaction mixture to remove ethene. The procedure was repeated twice and the resin was washed with DCE (3x), DCM (3x), DMF (3x).

## One pot ring closing alkyne and olefin metathesis

The dried resin was transferred under argon into a baked out Schlenk tube and swollen and shrunk alternating in dry diethyl ether and dry toluene ( $3 x$ each). Afterwards 0.5 mL of a solution of the alkyne metathesis complex $5\left(2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ and Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) in dry toluene was added and the reaction mixture stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . During the reaction time argon was bubbled through the reaction mixture to evaporate the 2-butyne. After addition of 0.5 mL of fresh complex solution (alkyne complex 5 and Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst) the mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The resin was filtered off, washed with toluene (3x), DCM (3x) and dried to constant weight.

## Dibromination of alkyne macrocycles

The dried resin was swollen in dry MeCN for 15 min and treated with a mixture of $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ for 2 h . The reaction was performed in a Syringe
reactor and the procedure was repeated twice. Afterwards, the resin was washed with MeCN (3x), DMF (3x), DCM (3x).

## Cleavage from the resin

The dry resin was treated with a solution of TFA/EDT/TIS/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(94 / 1 / 2.5 / 2.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ resin for $2 \times 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and $1 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$. The solvents were evaporated and the crude peptide was precipitated by the addition of cold diethyl ether. After centrifugation ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 16.100 \times \mathrm{g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) the supernatant was removed. The crude product was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}(2 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and lyophilized. The crude peptides were purified by semi-preparative HPLC.

## Fmoc quantification

A defined amount of dry resin was transferred into an Eppendorf cap and treated with 0.5 mL deprotection solution for 15 min . The UV absorption of the supernatant was determined at 305 nm and the occupation density calculated using Beer-Lambert law $\left(\varepsilon=7800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$.

## Peptide quantification

The concentration of fluorescein labeled peptides was determined by UV absorption in 20 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 8.5 ) at $496 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=77.000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$. The concentration of acetylated peptides was determined gravimetrically or via UV absorption at 280 nm .

## Biochemical methods

## Protein expression and purification

Expression and purification of Rab8a ${ }_{6-176}$ was performed analog to full-length Rab8a according to established protocols. ${ }^{1,2}$

## Nucleotide exchange

Nucleotide exchange was performed according to previously established protocols. ${ }^{2,3}$ Briefly, for nucleotide removal $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ was removed by addition of a 5 -fold excess of EDTA and reacted for 1 h at room temperature. The protein solution was desalted
using a PD-10 desalting column Sephadex G-25 DNA Grade (GE Healthcare) with elution buffer consisting of 20 mM HEPES ( pH 7.5 ), $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP. After removal of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ the protein was diluted to $80-100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ before addition of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(500$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(200 \mathrm{mM})$. After addition of alkaline phosphatase ( $5 \mathrm{U} \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ Rab protein) the mixture was incubated for 16 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For nucleotide exchange, the mixture contained a 5 -fold excess of GppNHp during alkaline phosphatase incubation. Afterwards, the mixture was desalted using a PD-10 desalting column Sephadex G-25 DNA Grade (GE Healthcare) with elution buffer consisting of 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, 1 mM MgCl 2 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GppNHp.

## Fluorescence polarization assay for the determination of dissociation constants $K_{d}$

Rab8a ${ }_{6-176}$ was serially diluted in a buffer containing 25 mM HEPES ( pH 7.5 ), 150 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, $0.01 \%$ tween 20 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GppNHp (assay buffer), treated with 66 nM fluorescein-labeled peptides and incubated for 4 h at room temperature. Binding assays were performed in 384 -well, small volume, black flatbottom, non-binding plates (Greiner). Fluorescence polarization values ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=470 \mathrm{~nm}$, $\lambda_{\text {em }}=525 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were determined using a Safire II plate reader (Tecan) at room temperature. Initial studies for alkyne macrocyclized peptides were performed as single measurements. Final affinity measurements of a subset of peptides were performed in triplicates. After correction for changes in fluorescence intensity upon binding, the fluorescence anisotoropy data were converted into fraction bound (Supplementary equation 1) of the FITC- labeled peptide and fitted to a one-site binding model derived from the law of mass action using $K_{d}$ as the only fitting parameter (Supplementary equation 2). ${ }^{4}$ In case of incomplete binding due to the limited solubility of Rab proteins, anisotropy top-values were extrapolated and constrained as indicated below. Non-linear regression was performed in Prism 5.0 (Graphpad). ${ }^{5}$
fraction bound $=\frac{A-A_{\text {free }}}{A-A_{\text {free }}+Q\left(A_{\text {bound }}-A\right)} \quad$ (Supplementary equation 1)
A: observed anisotropy; $\mathrm{A}_{\text {free }}$ : anisotropy of free fluorophore; $\mathrm{A}_{\text {bound }}$ : anisotoropy of bound fluorophore; Q: change in fluorescence intensity between free and bound state
fraction bound $=\frac{K_{\mathrm{d}}+L_{\mathrm{T}}+c_{\mathrm{Rab}}-\sqrt{\left(K_{\mathrm{d}}+L_{\mathrm{T}}+c_{\mathrm{Rab}}\right)^{2}-4 L_{\mathrm{T}} c_{\mathrm{Rab}}}}{2 L_{\mathrm{T}}} \quad$ (Supplementary equation 2)
$K_{d}$ : dissociation constant; $L_{T}$ : total concentration of labeled peptide; $c_{\text {Rab }}$ : protein concentration

## Microscale Thermophoresis (MST)

Rab8a $_{6-176}$ (GppNHp) was serially diluted in assay buffer and treated with 133 nM fluorescein-labeled peptide. After incubation for 4 h at room temperature the mixture was soaked into capillaries for microscale thermophoresis (MST) measurements. $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ values were calculated after initial fluorescene analysis of the obtained MST curves using the software Monolith Affinity Analysis (NanoTemper Technologies).

## Competition fluorescence polarization assay

Acetylated peptides were serially diluted in assay buffer (1:1) and were incubated with a mixture of 60 nm fluorescein-labeled peptide and Rab8a $\mathrm{R}_{6-176}$ (GppNHp) ( $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ depending on $K_{d}$ of the labeled peptide) for 1 h at room temperature. Fluorescence polarization was dertermined and half maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis of doseresponse curves using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad). ${ }^{5}$

## Synthetic methods

Synthesis of the alkyne building blocks 1-4 was performed according to adapted protocols from Y. N. Belokon et al. ${ }^{6}$ and G. H. Bird et al. ${ }^{7}$ Schematic representation of the synthesis is summarized below.


Synthesis of the alkyne building blocks. (a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$, Imidazol; THF, room temperature, 2 h ; (b) KOH , 24 a-c; DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - room temperature, 2 h ; (c) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; (d) Fmoc-OSu, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, Dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, room temperature, $7 \mathrm{~d} . \mathrm{n}=1,2,4$

## Synthesis of Mo-complexes 5 and 33



Mo-complexes for RCAM 5 and 33 were prepared according to previously established procedures. ${ }^{8}$

## Synthesis of alkyne alcohols (34a-c)



34a
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ Exact Mass: 98.07316 Molecular Weight: 98.14500


34b
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ Exact Mass: 112.08882
Molecular Weight: 112.17200


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ Exact Mass: 140.12012
Molecular Weight: 140.22600

Synthesis of alkyne alcohols hex-4-yn-1-ol (34a), hept-5-yn-1-ol (34b) and non-7-yn-$1-\mathrm{ol}(\mathbf{3 4 c})$, was carried out according to previously established protocols. ${ }^{9}$

## Synthesis of iodo-alkynes (35a-c)



35a
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ Exact Mass: 207.97489
Molecular Weight: 208.04247


35b
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ I
Exact Mass: 221.99054


35c
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{I}$
Exact Mass: 250.02184 Molecular Weight: 222.06947 Molecular Weight: 250.12347

The alcohol 34a-c was converted into the iodo-alkynes 6-iodohex-2-yne (35a), 7-iodohept-2-yne (35b) and 9-iodonon-2-yne (35c) following established protocols. ${ }^{10}$

## 35a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{p}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=77.4,77.1$, 32.7, 19.9, 5.8, 3.6.

## 35b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-$ $1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=78.5,76.3,32.7,29.9,17.9,6.5,3.6$.

## 35c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-$ $1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=79.2,75.7,33.6,30.2,28.9,27.9,18.8,7.2,3.6$.

## Synthesis of (S, R)-Ala-Ni(II)-BPB (36a,b)




36b
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}$ Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}$

Exact Mass: 511.14059
Molecular Weight: 512.22568

Exact Mass: 511.14059
Molecular Weight: 512.23540

Synthesis of the Ni-complexes 36a and 36b was carried out according to previously established protocols starting either from $L$ - or $D$-Proline. ${ }^{6,7,11}$

36a:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=8.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6-$ $7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.4(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.1-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.7-6.6(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 6.5 (dd, J = 8.2, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.1$ (d, J = $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.7-3.4$ (m, 4H), $3.4-$ $3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.3-2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=180.9,179.0,142.9,135.5,134.2,133.2,132.1,131.9,130.2$, 129.6, 129.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.3, 128.0, 126.4, 124.0, 120.7, 70.3, 66.6, 63.2, 58.2, 31.1, 24.4, 22.0. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}=512.14787$; found $=$ $512.14789[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC (flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \%$ TFA), 13 min ) = 7.93 min.

## 36b:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=8.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60-7.52$ (m, 2H), 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dd, J = 10.8, $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.13 (m, 2H), 7.05 (m, 1H), $6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-$ $3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO): $\delta=180.2,178.3,169.4,142.2$, 134.7, 133.5, 132.5, 131.4, 131.6, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.3, 127.6, 127.3, 125.7, 123.3, 120.0, 69.6, 65.9, 62.5, 57.5, 30.4, 23.7, 21.3. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}=512.14787$; found $=512.14810[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC (flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 13 \mathrm{~min})=8.12 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Synthesis of alkynated (S),(R)-Ala-Ni(II)-BPB (37a-d)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}$ Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: 591.20319
Molecular Weight: 592.36540


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: 633.25014
Molecular Weight: 634.43314


Exact Mass: 605.21884 Molecular Weight: 606.39240


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: 591.20319
Molecular Weight: 592.35340

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 6 a}, \mathbf{b}$ in 15 mL DMF in a baked out flask under argon, freshly ground KOH ( 5.0 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of iodo-alkynes (30a-c) ( 1.2 eq.) in 2 mL DMF, the mixture was stirred for 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and another 2 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by pouring it onto chilled acetic acid ( $125 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \%$ ) and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with water ( 50 mL ), brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After co-evaporation with toluene the pure product was obtained as a red solid. Yields: 37a = 98\%; 37b = 98\%; 37c = 99\%; 37d = 95\%.

## 37a:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=8.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ 7.47 (m, 3H), $7.46-7.38$ (m, 3H), 7.24 (t, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (d, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.11-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09$ - $2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ -
$2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=180.1$, 180.0, 171.6, 141.8, 136.2, 134.7, 132.9, 131.5, 130.8, 130.6, 129.3, 128.5, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 127.2, 126.9, 123.4, 119.9, 78.8, 76.6, 76.3, 69.6, 63.0, 57.0, 39.9, 30.1, 28.7, 25.1, 22.7, 18.1, 3.1. HRMS: calc. $[m+H]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}=592.21047$; found $=$ $592.21180[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC (flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \%$ TFA), 13 min ) = 9.43 min.

## 37b:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=8.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-$ 7.50 (m, 3H), $7.47-7.39$ (m, 3H), 7.25 (t, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.09-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.7,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.55-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta=180.5,179.9,171.7,141.7,136.3,134.7,132.7$, $131.5,131.3,130.7,130.4,129.3,128.5,128.4,127.9,127.8,127.1,126.9,123.5$, 119.9, 79.1, 76.7, 76.1, 69.7, 62.9, 56.9, 40.0, 30.2, 29.0, 28.4, 24.4, 22.7, 18.1, 2.9.

HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}=606.22613$; found $=606.22693[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC (flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 13 \mathrm{~min})=9.61 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 37c:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta=8.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.51 (s, 3H), $7.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=19.0$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-$ $1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta=180.6,180.0,171.6,141.7,136.3,134.7,132.8,131.5,130.7$, 130.5, 129.3, 128.5, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 127.1, 126.9, 123.6, 120.0, 79.3, 76.8, $75.7,69.6,62.9,57.0,39.8,30.2,29.0,28.6,28.4,28.3,25.3,22.8,18.0,3.1$. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}=634.25742$; found $=634.25868[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC (flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 11 \mathrm{~min})=6.96 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 37d:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta=8.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-$ 7.48 (m, 3H), $7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-$
2.05 (m, 2H), 2.02 - $1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ $-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta=180.1,180.0,171.6$, $141.8,136.2,134.7,132.9,131.5,130.8,130.6,129.3,128.5,128.4,127.8,127.7$, $127.2,126.9,123.4,119.9,78.8,76.6,76.3,69.6,63.0,57.0,39.9,30.1,28.7,25.1$, 22.7, 18.1, 3.1. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{3}=592.21047$; found $=$ $592.21180[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC (flow rate $\left.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 13 \mathrm{~min}\right)=$ 9.16 min.

## Synthesis of unprotected $\alpha$-methyl- $\alpha$-alkinyl amino acids (38a-d)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 169.11028
Molecular Weight: 169.22400


38c
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Exact Mass: 211.15723
Molecular Weight: 211.30064


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Exact Mass: 183.12593
Molecular Weight: 183.25100


38d
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Exact Mass: 169.11028
Molecular Weight: 169.22090

To a solution of $37 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), conc. hydrochloric acid (10 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. After addition of 20 mL water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Recovered BPB was purified by precipitation as hydrochloric salt from acetone solution. ${ }^{12}$ The aqueous layer was dried by lyophilization and the crude unprotected $\alpha$-methyl- $\alpha$-alkinyl amino acid was used without any further purification.

## Synthesis of Fmoc-protected $\alpha$-methyl- $\alpha$-alkinyl amino acids (1-4)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 391.17836
Molecular Weight: 391.46700


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 433.22531
Molecular Weight: 433.53934


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 405.19401
Molecular Weight: 405.49400


Fmoc-4-OH
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 391.17836
Molecular Weight: 391.45960

To a solution of the crude unprotected $\alpha$-methyl- $\alpha$-alkynyl amino acid in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /dioxane ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (4 eq.) and Fmoc-OSu ( 1.2 eq.) were added and stirred at room temperature for 7 d . The reaction was monitored using HPLC-MS analysis, daily and subsequently fresh Fmoc-Osu ( 0.5 eq.) was added. After addition of water ( 100 mL ) the pH of the aqueous layer was set to $2-4$ using aqueous hydrochloric acid and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethylacatate $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified via column chromatography ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ 0.45 , PE:EA $1: 1 ; 0.1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ ) and obtained as a pale yellow solid. Yields: $\mathbf{1 a}=\mathbf{9 8 \%}$; 2b $=97 \%$; $\mathbf{3 c}=86 \% ; 4 d=90 \%$.

## Fmoc-1-OH

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta=12.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-$ $4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-$ $1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta=175.2,154.6,143.8,140.7,127.6,127.0,125.2,120.0,79.1,75.8,65.2$, 58.1, 46.7, 36.0, 23.3, 22.5, 18.3, 3.1. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4}=$ 392.18563 ; found $=392.18459[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 414.16581[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HPLC: (flow rate 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 13 \mathrm{~min})=9.73 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Fmoc-2-OH

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta=12.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

2H), $4.34-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H), $1.68-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.19$ (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=175.3,154.7,143.8,140.7,127.6,127.0$, 125.2, 120.0, 79.1, 75.7, 65.2, 58.2, 46.7, 36.2, 28.7, 22.6, 22.4, 18.0, 3.1. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4}=406.20128$; found $=406.20119[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 428.18273$ $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HPLC: (flow rate $\left.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 13 \mathrm{~min}\right)=10.12$ min.

## Fmoc-3-OH

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=12.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.22$ (m, 2H), $4.22-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=175.4,154.7,143.8,140.7,127.6,127.0,125.2$, 120.0, 79.3, 75.6, 65.2, 58.3, 46.7, 36.6, 28.7, 28.4, 28.1, 23.1, 22.3, 18.0, 3.1. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4}=434.23258$; found $=434.23458[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, $456.21638[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HPLC: (flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ), 11 min ) $=7.18 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Fmoc-4-OH

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=12.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.22$ (m, 2H), $4.22-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta=175.2,154.7,143.8,140.7,127.6,127.0,125.3,120.0,79.0,75.9,65.2$, 58.1, 46.7, 36.0, 23.2, 22.4, 18.3, 3.1. HRMS: calc. $[\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4}=$ 392.18563 ; found $=392.18504[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 414.16645[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HPLC: (flow rate 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10-90 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}), 13 \mathrm{~min})=9.60 \mathrm{~min}$.
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